

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/980,199	03/08/2002	Detlev Neuland	3868-0104P	9426	
2292 75	90 05/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEW PO BOX 747	ART KOLASCH & BI	HAMILTON	HAMILTON, ISAAC N		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724	3724	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5	P
/	v

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/980,199	NEULAND ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Isaac N Hamilton	3724	

	Isaac N Hairiillori	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (so filed after a filed af	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amendment	(1 10L-32 4) .
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-12.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. Other:	(F10/36/06 01 F10-1449) Paper 1		
	Allan N. Shoa	y Y	

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Application No. 09/980,199

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has changed the independent claim 7 significantly by deleting lines 17, 18, and 20-22, and by adding several new limitations, such as, "by means of a vacuum roll" in lines 5 and 6. These significant changes to claim 7 raise new issues that require further consideration and search.